

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH AUGUST 2007 / 17TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C) .No. 24152 of 2007 (A)

PETITIONER:

MOHAMMED ALI VAKKATTIL,
S/O. KUNHAMMAD, VAKKATTIL HOUSE,
PARIYAPURAM DESOM, ANGADIPURAM VILLAGE,
PERINTHALMANNA TALUK, MALAPPURM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.MUHAMMED SALAHUDHEEN

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
PERINTHALMANNA.
2. THANKAM GOPALAN,
W/O.GOPALAN (LATE),
KRISHNA NILAYAM, PARIYAPURAM DESOM,
ANGADIPURAM VILLAGE,
PERINTHALMANNA TALUK.

BY G.P. SHRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C).No.24152 of 2007

=====

Dated this the 8th day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner alleges that a coconut tree belonging to the 2nd respondent is slanting dangerously towards the petitioner's house and properties. Petitioner has filed Ext.P1 petition before the 1st respondent to take appropriate action in the matter. The petitioner seeks a direction to the 1st respondent to consider Ext.P1 and pass appropriate orders thereon expeditiously in the light of Ext.P2 report of the Village Officer.

2. I have heard learned Government Pleader also.

3. In the nature of the order I propose to pass, I do not think it necessary to issue notice to the 2nd respondent. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P1 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner as well as the 2nd respondent. The 1st respondent shall consider Ext.P2 report also if the same has been received.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

sdk+

Sd/-
S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

///True copy///

P.A. to Judge.

